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Some compounds of the alkaline-earth metals, which result from the insertion of COS into metal-ethoxide bonds, have been synthesized and characterised. The crystal structure of the first linear trinuclear metal strontium complex $\left[\mathrm{Sr}_{3}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{6}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{8}\right]$ 2, which resulted from the reaction of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right\}_{n}\right]$ with COS gas, has been determined. The octahedral monomer $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right] \mathbf{1}$ resulted from the insertion of a molecule of COS into the ethoxide bonds of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right\}_{n}\right]$. The structures demonstrate three alternative co-ordination modes of the OCS(OR )- ligand.

In the last few years there has been a resurgence of interest in the chemistry of alkaline-earth-metal complexes, which can be attributed to their potential application as molecular precursors for metal oxide thin films via metal organic chemical vapour deposition (M OCVD) and sol-gel techniques. The insertion reactions of alkaline-earth-metal alkoxides and alkyls with small molecules such as $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{COS}$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ have been relatively neglected compared to those associated with transition metals. ${ }^{1,2}$ D arensbourg et al. ${ }^{3}$ investigated the insertion reactions of tungsten aryloxide complexes and showed that they undergo facile COS and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ insertion reactions. The insertion of COS into the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}$ bond was irreversible whereas that of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was reversible We have previously provided the first example of insertion of COS into the calcium-methoxide bond which resulted in the formation of the thiocarbonatobridged dimer $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OCSOM} \mathrm{e})_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{3}\right\}_{2}\right] .{ }^{4}$ In this paper we describe the extension of this work to other Group 2 metal alkoxides. Specifically, we describe the COS insertion into the $M-0$ bonds of magnesium and strontium ethoxides. The crystal structures of the products $\left[\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right]$ $\mathbf{1}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Sr}_{3}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{6}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{8}\right] \mathbf{2}$ are described.

## Results and D iscussion

The crystalline ethanol-solvated metal ethoxides $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right\}_{n}\right]$ and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right\}_{n}\right]$ were suspended in ethanol and COS bubbled through the suspension at room temperature. An exothermic reaction occurred, reaching completion within 10 min and the products were isolated by reducing the volume. In both cases crystallisation from ethanol gave colourless crystals. On the basis of single-crystal X-ray studies, analytical data and spectroscopic measurements, the products have been formulated as the mono- and tri-meric thiocarbonato complexes $\left[\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right] \mathbf{1}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Sr}_{3}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{6}\right.$ (EtOH $\left.)_{8}\right]$ 2, respectively.

These characterisational results have confirmed that insertion of COS into the metal-alkoxide bonds has occurred [equations (1) and (2)]. Complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are moisture sensitive, but may be stored indefinitely under an inert atmosphere at room temperature without losing COS, although some reversible desolvation occurs. They are soluble in alcohols and co-ordinating and polar organic solvents, but have poor solubilities in hydrocarbons.

* Non-SI unit employed: cal $=4.184 \mathrm{~J}$.
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$$
\left[\left\{\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]+2 \mathrm{nCOS} \underset{\mathrm{n}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right]}{\longrightarrow}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.3\left[\left\{\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right\}_{n}\right]+6 \mathrm{nCOS} \underset{\mathrm{n}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{SS}_{3}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{6}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{8}\right]+4 \mathrm{nEtOH}
\end{align*}
$$

The IR spectra of complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ (as N ujol mulls between Csl plates) were studied. The band at $1554 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of 1 has been assigned to the $\mathrm{C}=0$ stretching mode of the COS moiety and that at $1174 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ stretching mode. Complex 2 exhibits CO stretching vibrations at 1620 and $1568 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, implying two distinct co-ordination modes. The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ stretch ing frequencies featured at 1176 and $930 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ respectively. These bands have been assigned on the basis of previously published IR data for similar compounds. ${ }^{1,5}$

Proton NMR spectroscopic studies in $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ for complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ clearly differentiate the ethanol and ethyl thiocarbonato groups. A doublet of quartets for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of the coordinated ethanol molecules was observed at $\delta 3.41$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and at 3.42 for $\mathbf{2}$, while a quartet at $\delta 3.74$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and 3.80 for $\mathbf{2}$ was assigned to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of the ethyl thiocarbonato moieties. The two different $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ environments of the ethanol and the ethyl thiocarbonato moieties appeared in the same region as two superimposed triplets at approximately $\delta 1.04$ for both complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 . Similar assignments were possible in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ \{¹ H$\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 . A characteristic signal for the thiocarbonato carbon, OCS(OEt) ${ }^{-}$, was observed at low field $\delta 184.5$, in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{N}$ M R spectra for both complexes.
$M$ ass spectroscopic studies using fast atom bombardment (FAB) (positive ion) techniques for complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ yielded complicated fragmentation patterns which were not very helpful in their characterisation. M olecular ions were not observed primarily because of the poor volatilities and mass-transport properties of these complexes.

The DSC trace for the magnesium complex 1 [Fig. 1(a)] shows two exotherms between 23 and $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This is mirrored in theTGA plot with a $72.5 \%$ weight loss, corresponding to loss of the ethanol and the COS molecules. The subsequent weight loss of ca. $18 \%$ represents the decomposition of $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}$ to M gO between 140 and $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ leaving a residue of $10.27 \%$. The DSC trace of compound $\mathbf{2}$ [Fig. 1(b)] shows three endotherms The first two reveal two overlapping reaction processes between 40 and $197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These two endotherms are mirrored in theTG A


Fig. 1 TheTGA/D SC curves of complexes (a) $\mathbf{1}$ and (b) $\mathbf{2}$
spectrum with a weight loss of $52.1 \%$ representing the loss of ethanol and COS gas. The third endotherm on the D SC trace is observed at ca. $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; this feature is coupled with a simultaneous loss of $11.3 \%$ on the TGA curve corresponding to the loss of the ethyl groups, to yield a strontium oxide residue by $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (residue $30.0 \%$ ). The observation of exotherms for 1 and endotherms for $\mathbf{2}$ with closely related complexes suggests that the thermodynamics of the decomposition process is sensitive to the nuclearity of the complex and the mode of co-ordination of the ethyl thiocarbonate ligand.

## C rystal structures

$\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}(\mathbf{O C S O E t})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right]$ 1. The insertion product $\mathbf{1}$ has the centrosymmetric monomeric structure shown in Fig . 2. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 1. The insertion of COS into the two metal-ethoxide bonds has resulted in transethyl thiocarbonate ligands with four equatorial oxygen atoms from ethanol ligands completing an octahedral co-ordination geometry round the magnesium atom with $0-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{O}$ angles in the range 86.59-93.41(9) ${ }^{\circ}$. The bond distance from the metal to the oxygen donor of the ethyl thiocarbonate ligand [ $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}-\mathrm{O}$ (1a) $2.036(2) \AA$ ] is markedly shorter than the mean of those to the

Table 1 Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for complex 1

| M g-O(1a) | $2.036(2)$ | M g-O(1c) | $2.071(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| M g-O(1b) | $2.095(2)$ | O(1a)-C(2a) | $1.235(4)$ |
| C(2a)-O(3a) | $1.337(5)$ | C(2a)-S(21a) | $1.718(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| O(1a)-M g-O(1a') | 180.0 | $O(1 a)-M$ g-O(1c) | $93.41(9)$ |
| O(1a)-M g-O(1c $)$ | $86.59(9)$ | $O(1 c)-M$ g-O(1c $)$ | 180.0 |
| O(1a)-M g-O(1b $)$ | $91.66(9)$ | O(1c)-M g-O(1b') | $91.27(10)$ |
| O(1a)-M g-O(1b) | $88.34(9)$ | O(1c)-M g-O(1b) | $88.73(10)$ |
| O(1b)-M g-O(1b) | 180.0 | C(2a)-O(1a)-M g | $142.8(2)$ |
| O(1a)-C(2a)-O(3a) | $119.7(3)$ | O(1a)-C(2a)-S(21a) | $126.8(3)$ |
| O(3a)-C(2a)-S(21a) | $113.4(3)$ | C(2b)-O(1b)-M g | $124.9(2)$ |

Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: $-x,-y$, -z.


Fig. 2 Crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right] \mathbf{1}$


Fig. 3 Crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Sr}_{3}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{6}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{8}\right] \mathbf{2}$
two independent ethanol groups, $2.083(2) \AA$, the latter being similar to the value of 2.069(3) $\AA$ reported for the Mg g-O bond length in hexa(ethanol)magnesium(II) chloride. ${ }^{6}$

The monomeric structure observed for $\left[\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{2}\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right]$ 1, with two monodentate thiocarbonate ligands, provides a marked contrast to the trinuclear structure of $\mathbf{2}$ (see below) and to the dimeric eight-co-ordinate calcium complex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OCSOMe})_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})_{3}\right\}_{2}\right]$ 3, obtained by insertion of COS into calcium-methoxy bonds (the only previous example of this type of insertion product to be structurally characterised). ${ }^{4}$ In the calcium complex 3 the two thiocarbonate ligands adopt a bidentate bonding mode, with one also bridging to the second metal atom to give a centrosymmetric dimer; this is very similar to that in the linear polymer resulting from insertion of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ into the $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{OM} \mathrm{e}$ bond. ${ }^{7}$ The preference of the ethyl thiocarbonate ligand to adopt a monodentate bonding mode in 1, resulting in a discrete six-co-ordinate magnesium complex, may be attributed to the small size of the magnesium(II) ion.
$\left[\mathrm{Sr}_{3}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{6}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{8}\right]$ 2. The product of COS insertion into the $\mathrm{Sr}-\mathrm{OE}$ t bond is a novel linear trinuclear molecule $\mathbf{2}$, which is centred on a site of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ symmetry in the crystal with a $\operatorname{Sr}(1) \cdots \operatorname{Sr}(2)$ distance of $3.959 \AA$. The molecular structure is shown in Fig. 3 while selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2. The structure is quite unlike those observed for either the magnesium or calcium analogues. Remarkably, the central strontium atom, located on the inversion centre, is bonded only to ethyl thiocarbonate ligands, all six being involved in an eight-co-ordinate geometry at strontium [ $\mathrm{Sr}(1)-0$ range $2.521-2.764 \AA$ ]. The two outer strontium atoms are also eight-co-ordinated, each having four ethanol ligands [Sr(2)-0 2.533-2.566 A ]; the remaining four sites are occupied by donation from bridging thiocarbonate ligands via three oxygen atoms [ $\mathrm{Sr}-0$ 2.577-2.785(5) $\AA$ ] and one sulfur atom $[\operatorname{Sr}(2)-S(1 b) 3.025(3) \AA$ ].

In the overall structure four of the thiocarbonate ligands are chelating, and one oxygen atom of each of the six thiocarbonate ligands is involved in forming bridges between the central and outer strontium atoms. The two symmetry-related outer metal atoms are each chelated via an oxygen and a sulfur atom of one ligand $[\operatorname{Sr}(2)-0(1 b) 2.785(5), \operatorname{Sr}(2)-S(1 b) 3.025(3)$ $\AA \AA$; the oxygen atom of both of these symmetry-related chelate

Table 2 Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for complex 2

| $\mathrm{Sr}(1)-0$ (1b) | 2.521(5) | $\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{c})$ | 2.570(6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | 2.604(5) | $\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 2.764(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sr}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (3c) | 3.157(5) | $\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{f})$ | 2.533(6) |
| Sr(2)-0 (1g) | 2.549(7) | Sr(2)-0 (1d) | 2.557(5) |
| Sr(2)-0 (1e) | 2.566(6) | Sr(2)-0 (1a) | 2.577(6) |
| $\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.614(6) | $\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 2.785(5) |
| Sr(2)-S(1b) | 3.025(3) | S(1a)-C (2a) | 1.710(10) |
| O(1a)-C(2a) | 1.239(10) | $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{a})-0$ (3a) | 1.361(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.447(10) | S(1b)-C (2b) | 1.708(8) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.250(9) | $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~b})-0$ (3b) | 1.335(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{c})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{c})$ | 1.254(9) | $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{c})-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{c})$ | 1.352(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{c})-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{c})$ | 1.710(9) | $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{c})-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{c})$ | 1.451(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)$ | 180.0 | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{Sr}\left(1 \mathrm{~b}^{\prime}\right)$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{c})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)$ | 180.0 | $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-0\left(3 a^{\prime}\right)$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{c})$ | 106.3(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)$ | 73.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(1 a^{\prime}\right)$ | 108.2(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{c})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-0\left(1 a^{\prime}\right)$ | 65.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | 71.8(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{c})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | 114.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 107.5(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{c})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 75.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 47.3(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-0\left(3 a^{\prime}\right)$ | 72.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{c})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-0$ (3a') | 104.4(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-0\left(3 a^{\prime}\right)$ | 132.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)-0(3 \mathrm{c})$ | 72.9(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{f})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-0(1 \mathrm{~g})$ | 149.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{f})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~d})$ | 76.3(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~g})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{lg})$ | 75.4(2) |
| O(1f)-Sr(2)-0(1e) | 90.7(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~g})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{e})$ | 75.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~d})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{e})$ | 86.5(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{f})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | 69.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~g})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | 136.1(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~d})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | 145.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{e})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | 88.6(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{f})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)$ | 131.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~g})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)$ | 71.2(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~d})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-0\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)$ | 144.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{e})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)$ | 73.1(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)$ | 65.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{f})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 108.1(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~g})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 99.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~d})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 130.5(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{e})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 141.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 68.1(2) | $\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 68.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{f})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 98.1(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~g})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 87.4(2) |
| O(1d)-Sr(2)-S(1b) | 77.33(14) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{e})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 159.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 112.27(14) | $\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 113.25(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 53.16(12) | $\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sr}(1)$ | 99.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Sr}(2)$ | 96.4(2) | $\mathrm{Sr}(1)-0(1 \mathrm{c})-\mathrm{Sr}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 99.6(2) |

Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: $-x+1$, $-y,-z+1$.
rings also bridges to the central strontium atom $[\operatorname{Sr}(1)-0(1 b)$ $2.521(5) \AA$ ] . These two bridging atoms adopt trans sites in what may be envisaged as a very distorted hexagonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry at the central atom $\operatorname{Sr}(1)$; the 'equatorial'
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Scheme 1 Various co-ordination modes adopted by the OCS(OR )ligand in complexes 1-3. The metal atoms indicated in bold type emphasise alternative co-ordination modes for that particular metal ion


Scheme 2
co-ordination sites are occupied by oxygen atoms from two pairs of symmetry-related ethyl thiocarbonate ligands, one pair of which is chelating $[\mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a}) 2.604(5), \mathrm{Sr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{a})$ $2.764(6) \AA$ ], and one is monodentate [ $\mathrm{Sr}(1)-0$ (1c) $2.570(6)$, $\mathrm{Sr}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (3c) $3.157(5) \AA$ ]. One oxygen atom from each of these four ethyl thiocarbonate groups also bridges to one of the two symmetry-related outer strontium atoms [ $\mathrm{Sr}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ (1a) 2.577(6), $\mathrm{Sr}(2)-0\left(1 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right) 2.614(6) \AA$ ]. A number of oligomeric complexes of strontium with oxygen ligands have been reported, ${ }^{8}$ including triangular trimers but to the best of our knowledge $\mathbf{2}$ is the first example of a linear trinuclear complex

TheOCS(OEt) ${ }^{-}$ligand in complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ exhibits in total five alternative co-ordination modes which can be divided into three different classes based on the arrangement around specific metal centres as shown in Scheme 1. In the magnesium complex 1 the $\operatorname{OCS}(O E t)^{-}$ligand is monodentate with only the terminal oxygen directly bonded to Mg [Scheme 1(a)]; in the strontium compound $\mathbf{2}$ it exhibits three different co-ordination modes. F irst, it acts as a bidentate ligand with both the terminal oxygen and sulfur atoms co-ordinated to the central strontium [Scheme 1(d)]. Secondly, there is an alternative bidentate mode, chelating via two oxygen donors [(e)]. Finally, it adopts a monodentate co-ordination as in the magnesium complex 1, but in this case the terminal oxygen is also involved in bridging two strontium atoms [(b) and (c)]. The two bidentate modes adopted by the OCS(OEt)- ligand in the strontium complex 2 are analogous to those adopted by the $\operatorname{OCS}(\mathrm{OMe})^{-}$ligand in the calcium complex 3.

The terminal oxygen of the OCS(OR $)^{-}$group is the most nucleophilic. The different co-ordination modes observed in these complexes (Scheme 1) suggest that the nucleophilic character decreases in the order shown in Scheme 2. A metal ion such as $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}^{2+}$ which is a hard or class 'a' metal ion has a preference to co-ordinate exclusively through theterminal oxygen, i.e. in a monodentate fashion [Scheme 1(a)]; it usually adopts a six-co-ordinate geometry with small oxygen ligands. M oving down
the group to the heavier alkaline-earth metals $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$, the increase in ionic radii and basicity results in the preference for higher co-ordination numbers and the introduction of the $S$ and OR groups into the co-ordination sphere in order to make up the co-ordination number. The $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{HO}$ hydrogen bonding between the two halves of the molecule in 3, which appears to assist oligomerisation, is absent in $\mathbf{2}$ where there is an increased number of bridging thiocarbonato groups. Comparison of the alkyl thiocarbonato-complexes $\mathbf{1 , 3}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ of $\mathbf{M g}, \mathrm{Ca}$ and Sr demonstrates clearly how the increasing size of the metal ion leads to more oligomerisation and increased utilisation of the S and OR donor groups. M agnesium is able to achieve coordinative saturation by utilising only the terminal oxygen of the ligand and the ethanol donor groups. Each of the calcium ions in the dimeric methyl thiocarbonato-complex is eight-coordinate with two ligands bridging the two metals. The trinuclear strontium complex also exhibits an eight-co-ordinate geometry at the metals with all ethyl thiocarbonate ligands adopting bridging modes.
This research has demonstrated that the insertion of COS into $G$ roup 2 metal-alkoxide bonds leads to a range of crystalline derivatives which are reasonably air stable and soluble in organic solvents. The crystallographic structural determinations of complexes 1-3 have revealed interesting alternatives. The OCS(OR)- ligand appears to be a flexible ligand which can use its alternative donor sites and bridging modes to coordinate to a wide range of metals and thereby form a series of crystalline derivatives with low molecular weights. The thermogravimetric results indicate that the insertion of the COS molecule may be reversed at higher temperatures. The reaction of COS with the G roup 2 alkoxides has shown a sequence going down the Group. The weakly bound sulfur atoms of the terminal alkyl thiocarbonate ligands suggest that complexes $\mathbf{2}$ and 3 may react further with class ' $b$ ' metal ions to form mixedmetal complexes.

## Experimental

## G eneral procedures

All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen using standard glove-box (M iller-H owe FF 160) and Schlenk techniques. All solvents were rigorously dried and deoxygenated by standard procedures. The samples for N M R and infrared studies were handled in a glove-box, but those for microanalysis and thermogravimetric analysis were not. This has lead to some differences concerning the extent to which ethanol molecules of crystallisation were detected by the spectroscopic and analytical techniques.

## Instrumentation

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FTIR 1720 spectrometer using N ujol mulls between $25 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ Csl plates. The $N u j$ ol was dried with $4 \AA$ molecular sieves prior to use (and stored in a glove-box); the samples were protected from the atmosphere by an 0 -ring-sealed Presslok holder (Aldrich Chemicals). The NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL GS 270 M Hz spectrometer, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ referenced internally to the residual ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ impurity present in the deuteriated solvent. Chemical shifts are recorded in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) relative to $\mathrm{SiM}_{4}(\delta=0)$ using $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}(\delta=2.52)$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{N}$ M R spectra are referenced to $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}(\delta=40.6)$. Controlled thermal analyses of the complexes were investigated using a Polymer Laboratories 1500 H simultaneous thermal analyser, controlled by an OmniPro 486D X-33 personal computer. The mass of the samples investigated was between 10 and 25 mg . The measurements were carried out in alumina crucibles under an atmosphere of flowing ( $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ) nitrogen gas, using heating rates of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$.

Table 3 Crystal data and structure refinement details for compounds 1 and 2


| 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{gO} \mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{O}_{20} \mathrm{~S}_{6} \mathrm{Sr}_{3}$ |
| 418.84 | 1262.18 |
| M onoclinic | Triclinic |
| P $21 / \mathrm{c}$ ( $\mathrm{no.14)}$ | Pī (no. 2) |
| 7.408(1) | 11.290(3) |
| 16.253(1) | 11.761(2) |
| 9.864(1) | 13.138(4) |
|  | 73.78(2) |
| 104.96(1) | 87.78(30) |
|  | 62.30(2) |
| 1147.4(1) | 1474.6(6) |
| 2 | 1 |
| 1.212 | 1.421 |
| 452 | 652 |
| $0.40 \times 0.16 \times 0.14$ | $0.32 \times 0.40 \times 0.50$ |
| 1.54178 | 0.71073 |
| 2.660 | 2.976 |
| 5.4-55.0 | 1.6-25.0 |
| -7 to 7, -17 to 17, -10 to 10 | -1 to $12,-12$ to $13,-15$ to 15 |
| 3132 | 5839 |
| 1404 | 5004 |
| 0.430, 0.676 | 0.512, 0.584 |
| 1404, 25, 128 | 5003, 139, 322 |
| 1.031 | 1.003 |
| 0.0645, 0.1719 | 0.0677, 0.1124 |
| 0.0714, 0.1801 | $0.1637,0.1491$ |
| $\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}\right)^{2}+(0.193 P)^{2}+0.73 P\right]$ | $\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}\right)^{2}+(0.0414 P)^{2}+2.16 P\right]$ |
| 0.388, -0.537 | 0.604, -0.626 |

$S=\left[\Sigma \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} /(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathrm{R} 1=\Sigma| | \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}} \| / \Sigma\right| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|, \mathrm{wR} 2=\Sigma \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathrm{P}=\left[\max \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}, 0\right)+2\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)\right] / 3$ where $\mathrm{n}=$ number of reflections and $p=$ total number of parameters.

## Starting materials

Strontium granules and dibutylmagnesium were obtained from A ldrich Chemicals Co . and were used as received.

## Preparations

Tetra(ethanol)bis(ethyl thiocarbonato)magnesium, [M g(0 C $\mathbf{S O E t})_{2}(E t O H)_{4}$ ] 1. Dibutylmagnesium in heptane $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 20\right.$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to ethanol $\left(30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulting in an exothermic reaction. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature, the solvent reduced in volume until all the heptane was removed and precipitation of the white solid $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{4}\right\}_{n}\right]$ was observed. Addition of ethanol $\left(30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ resulted in the formation of a suspension of the magnesium ethoxide. Carbonyl sulfide gas was bubbled through the suspension at room temperature. This resulted in a vigorous exothermic reaction and dissolution of the ethoxide to yield a yellow solution, which was stirred for 1 h . A crystalline solid was isolated after cooling the solution to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (yield 6.21 g , 74.3\%) (Found: C, 31.2; H, 5.8. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{gO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 30.8; H, 4.3\%). The analysis is based on the unsolvated molecular formula. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) ( N ujol): $3076 \mathrm{~m}, 1554 \mathrm{~s}, 1462 \mathrm{~s}$, $1376 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 1262 \mathrm{~s}, ~ 1174 \mathrm{~s}, 1091 \mathrm{~s}, 1050 \mathrm{~s}, ~ 884 \mathrm{~s}, ~ 803 \mathrm{~s}, ~ 724 \mathrm{~m}$, $690 \mathrm{~m}, 525 \mathrm{w}, 462 \mathrm{~m}, 396 \mathrm{~s}$ and 336 m . NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(270 \mathrm{MHz}), \delta 4.41\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.74(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCSOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right)$ and 1.04 (t, CH ${ }_{3}, \mathrm{OCSOR} / \mathrm{ROH}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(67.94 \mathrm{MHz}), \delta 183.42$ (s, OCSOR ), $59.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCSOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 56.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, $19.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ and $15.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCSOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{M}$ ass spectrum (positive-ion FAB): m/z 235, [M g(OCSOEt) $]^{]^{+} ;}$282, $\left[\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})\right]^{+}$.

0 ctakis(ethanol)hexakis(ethyl thiocarbonato)tristrontium, $\left[\mathrm{Sr}_{3}(\mathrm{OC} \mathrm{SOEt})_{6}(\mathrm{EtOH})_{8}\right]$ 2. Strontium metal ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in ethanol ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and the mixture refluxed for 2 h resulting in dissolution of the metal and evolution of hydrogen gas, yielding a clear solution. Carbonyl sulfide gas was
bubbled through the solution at room temperature resulting in an exothermic reaction to give a yellow solution, which was stirred for 1 h . A crystalline solid was isolated by cooling the solution to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (yield $3.87 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ) (Found: C, 21.6; H, 3.2. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{~S}_{6} \mathrm{Sr}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 22.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.9 \%$ ) [analysis based on $\mathrm{Sr}_{3}\left(\mathrm{OCSOEt}_{6} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ ( N ujol): $3195 \mathrm{w}, 1620 \mathrm{~m}, 1568 \mathrm{~s}$, 1466s, 1377s, 1302w, 1261w, 1176s, 1152w, 1085s, 1051s, 930w, $890 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 801 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 721 \mathrm{w}, ~ 693 \mathrm{~m}, 681 \mathrm{~m}, 557 \mathrm{w}$ and $529 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{NMR}$ [(CD $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(270 \mathrm{MHz}), \delta 4.39\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.80\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{OCSOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 12 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, 16 \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $1.04\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{OCSOR} / \mathrm{ROH}, 42 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(67.94 \mathrm{MHz}), \delta$ 184.76 (s, OCSOR), 60.13 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCSOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $56.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), $19.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ and $15.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCSOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. M ass spectrum (positive-ion FAB): m/z 193, [Sr(OCSOEt)] ${ }^{+}$; 299, $\left[\operatorname{Sr}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{2}\right]^{+} ; 346,\left[\operatorname{Sr}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{2}(\mathrm{EtOH})\right]^{+}$and 596, $\left[\mathrm{Sr}_{2}(\mathrm{OCSOEt})_{4}\right]^{+}$.

## X-R ay crystallography

Data were collected using a Siemens P4 diffractometer equipped with a Siemens LT2 low-temperature device with graphite-monochromated radiation using $\omega-2 \theta$ scans at 173 K . No significant decay in the intensity of three standard reflections measured after every 100 was observed. The data were corrected for Lorentz-polarisation factors and for absorption ( $\psi$ scans). The crystal data, data collection and refinement details are summarised in Table 3.

Both structures were solved by direct methods and in each case all non-hydrogen atoms were located from subsequent Fourier-difference syntheses. All non-hydrogen atoms were assigned anisotropic thermal parameters and refined using fullmatrix least squares on $\mathrm{F}_{0}{ }^{2.9}$. The hydrogen atoms for each of the compounds were included at calculated positions with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond distances of 0.99 and $0.98 \AA$ for the methylene and methyl groups respectively. The hydroxylic hydrogens in 1 were located in a Fourier-difference synthesis but those in 2 were not located. During refinement all the hydrogens were allowed to
ride on their parent atom and assigned isotropic thermal parameters equal to $1.2 \mathrm{U}_{\text {eq }}$ of the parent atom for the methylene groups and $1.5 \mathrm{U}_{\text {eq }}$ for the methyl and hydroxyl groups. In complex 1 the methyl group of one ethanol $C(3 c) / C(4 c)$ was disordered (60/40) over two sites. In 2 two of the ethanol groups were disordered; in one case the methylene carbon was disordered (50/50) over two sites C(2e)/C (2e') and for the second ethanol two distinct conformations (50/50) were resolved $C(2 g), C(3 g)$ and $C(4 g), C(5 g)$.

A tomic coordinates, thermal parameters, and bond lengths and angles have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See Instructions for Authors, J. C hem. Soc., D alton Trans., 1997, Issue 1. A ny request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 186/318.
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